

JUL 1 1 2014

Scott E. Thomas Dickstein Shapiro LLP 1825 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

> RE: MUR 6734 Jeffrey C. Hurt

Dear Mr. Thomas:

On May 14, 2013, the Federal Election Commission notified your client, Jeffrey C. Hurt, of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the complaint was forwarded to your client at that time.

Upon further review of this matter, including the recent Supreme Court decision in FEC v. McCutcheon, the Commission, on July 2, 2014, voted to dismiss this matter. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's decision, is enclosed for your information.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009).

If you have any questions, please contact Elena Paoli, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1548.

Sincerely,

Mark Allen

Which all

Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure: Factual and Legal Analysis

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION	
2 3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS	
4		
5	RESPONDENTS:	MUR: 6734
6	John Canning	Vincent Mai
7 8	John Canning Jeffrey Hurt	Prem Reddy
9	Donald Simms	Robert Beal
10	Susan Simms	Richard Uihlein
11	David Wallace	Philip Geier
12	Robert Reynolds	L. Scott Frantz
13	David Boies	John Cooney
14	Thomas Cushman	Elizabeth Wiskemann
15	Joe Murphy	Edward Donaghy
16	Thomas Fay	Michael Keiser
17	Thomas Girardi	John Roeser
18	Amy Goldman	Josephine Freede
19	John Harris	Don Scifres
20	David Herro	Timothy Travis
721	Carl A. Davis	William Smithburg
22	Kurt Wheeler	Alan Sieroty
23		
24	I INTRODUCTION	•
<b>25</b>	I. INTRODUCTION	- 40 -
26	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission	
27	("Commission") by Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington and Melanie Sloan	
28	("CREW") and the Campaign Legal Center and Paul S. Ryan ("CLC") alleging that 32	
29	individuals violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(3)(A) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.5(b)(1)(i) by exceeding the	
30	\$42,600 aggregate biennial limit for contributions to candidate committees during the 2012	
31	election cycle.	
32	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS	
33	The Act and Commission regul	ations set biennial limits on the aggregate amount that an
34	individual may contribute to all authorized candidate committees during each two-year period	
35	beginning on January 1 of an odd-numbered year and ending on December 31 of the next even-	
36	numbered year. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(3)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 110.5(b)(1)(i).	

MUR 6734 (Canning, et al.) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 2

- On April 2, 2014, the Supreme Court invalidated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(3), holding that the
- 2 aggregate biennial contribution limits do not further the government's interest in preventing
- actual or apparent quid pro quo corruption. FEC v. McCutcheon, 134 S. Ct. 1434 (2014).
- 4 Accordingly, we dismiss the complaint and close the file.